

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.   | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 10/540,884  | 06/27/2005  | Shuichi Fukutani     | OGW-0370              | 1745             |
| 24978 7590 122992999<br>GREER, BURNS & CRAIN<br>300 S WACKER DR |             |                      | EXAMINER              |                  |
|   |             |                      | JOHNSTONE, ADRIENNE C |                  |
| 25TH FLOOR<br>CHICAGO, IL 60606                                 |             |                      | ART UNIT              | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |             |                      | 1791                  |                  |
|   |             |                      |                       |                  |
|   |             |                      | MAIL DATE             | DELIVERY MODE    |
|   |             |                      | 12/29/2009            | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

#### Application No. Applicant(s) 10/540,884 FUKUTANI ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Adrienne C. Johnstone 1791 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,

| WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be a suitable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136g), in no event, high owner, may a reply be timely fixed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum stationy prote of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the sect or extended priend for reply with the application to become ABANDONED (SIX U.S.C., § 133).  Failure to reply within the sect or extended priend for reply will be statistic, case the application to become ABANDONED (SIX U.S.C., § 133).  For example, the section of the section of the communication, event timely fixed, may reduce any case of particular the mailing date the mailing case the mail time to the communication, event timely fixed, may reduce any case of particular the mailing date the mailing date of the communication, event timely fixed, may reduce any |
|--|
| Status   |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 September 2009</u> .  |
| 2a)☑ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ This action is non-final.  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is   |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |
| Disposition of Claims  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-5 and 10-15</u> is/are pending in the application.   |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-13 and 15 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 3-5, and 14</u> is/are rejected.  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  |
| Application Papers   |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.   |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.   |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of:   |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage  |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |
|  |
|  |
| Attachment(s)  |

| Attachment(s)   |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patient Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Discolours Statement(s) (PTO/SB/06) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Nettoe of Informal Patent Application 6) Other: |  |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office  |  |  |

## DETAILED ACTION

#### Election/Restrictions

Claims 10-13 and 15 stand withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.
 Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on January 9, 2008.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- Claims 1, 3, 5, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Japanese Patent Application 63-116904 A.

See abstract and Figure 1: the figure clearly depicts flat superposed coils of reinforcement material 1 that are circular except for a flattened bottom portion extending into the next coil (flattened curvature by definition is smaller than the curvature of the loop portions). Note that the flattened bottom portion of the Figure 1 coils appear to be no different than that of Figures 1a and 2a on which is based applicants' support for the added limitation "the circular loop portions terminating without making a complete circle". As to claim 3, Figure 1 depicts 3 wraps of other loop portions superposed on an arbitrary loop portion. As to claim 14, Figure 1 clearly depicts the reformed portion length P within the broadly claimed range of 0.05 to 0.5 times the loop width.

Applicants have now added that the reformed portion is formed by plastic deformation, however the flattening of the bottom portions of the coils necessitates plastic deformation (otherwise the flatteneed bottom portions would not hold their shape). Note that the claims as currently drafted do not exclude substantially straight reformed portions from the elected species of the reformed portion curvature being smaller than that of the circular loop portion (one way to

accomplish this would be to recite that the reformed portion curvature is also — substantially greater than zero — ).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese Patent Application 63-116904 A in view of Sidles et al. (3,455,100).

See paragraph 4 above: Sidles et al. teach to allow for expansion of tire reinforcement during the tire manufacturing process by providing the reinforcement with a low stress-elongation of 5-150% and preferably 30-80% with the exemplary low stress value of about 2 lbf (10N) (col. 1 line 23 - col. 5 line 69 and Table); it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the above tire reinforcement material with the low stress elongation taught by Sidles et al. in order to allow for expansion of the reinforcement during the tire manufacturing process.

Again, contrary to applicants' arguments, the low stress elongation is the same as the modulus transition point, which in the example is at about 2 lbf (10N).

#### Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on

Art Unit: 1791

the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Adrienne C. Johnstone whose telephone number is (571) 272-1218. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 1:00PM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on (571) 272-1226. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Adrienne C. Johnstone Primary Examiner Art Unit 1791

Adrienne Johnstone

/Adrienne C. Johnstone/

December 22, 2009